Case 1:04-cv-00004 Document 49-2 Filed 10/21/2005 Page 1 of 7 COPY of Original Filed on this date SUSANNE LEWALD, Regional Solicitor 1 MAY 0 1 2003 DAVID COLLINS, Senior Attorney United States Department of Labor Clerk Office of the Solicitor District Court 71 Stevenson Street, Suite 1110 3 For The Northern Mariana Islands San Francisco, California 94105-2999 4 Telephone: (415) 975-4480 Facsimile: (415) 975-4495 5 6 ATTORNEYS FOR THE PLAINTIFF 7 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 FOR THE NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS 9 10 ELAINE L. CHAO, Secretary of Labor, CASE NO. United States Department of Labor, 11 -0012Plaintiff, COMPLAINT 12 13) (Fair Labor Standards Act NEW SAIPAN DEVELOPMENT, INC., of 1938, as amended) a corporation; ROYAL CROWN INSURANCE 14 CORPORATION, a corporation; CHEONG Injunction, Backwages, PUI NG a/k/a TONY C. P. NG,; and 15 Liquidated Damages KANG HO YU, 16 Defendants. 17 18 Plaintiff Elaine L. Chao, Secretary of Labor, United States Department of Labor, brings this action pursuant to Section 17 of 19 20

Department of Labor, brings this action pursuant to Section 17 of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (FLSA), as amended (29 U.S.C. \$201 et seq.) to enjoin Defendants from violating the provisions of Sections 15(a)(2) and 15(a)(5) of the FLSA (29 U.S.C. §\$ 215(a)(2), 215(a)(5)). Plaintiff separately brings this action: (A)pursuant to Section 16(c) of the FLSA (29 U.S.C. §216(c)) for the recovery of a judgment against Defendants for unpaid overtime compensation due to Defendants' employees and liquidated damages in an equal amount; or (B)in the alternative, in the event liquidated damages are not awarded, pursuant to Section 17 of FLSA (29 U.S.C. §217)

21

22

23

24

26

27

28

for the recovery of a judgment permanently restraining Defendants from withholding payment of unpaid overtime compensation due to the Defendants' employees, plus pre-judgment interest computed thereon.

JURISDICTION

1. This court has jurisdiction pursuant to: (A) Sections 16(c) and 17 of the FLSA (29 U.S.C. §216(c) and §217); (B) 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question); and (C) 28 U.S.C. § 1345 (United States as Plaintiff).

THE PARTIES

- 2. Defendant New Saipan Development, Inc. is a corporation organized under the laws of the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (CNMI), with its place of business located at The Royal Crown House, Beach Road, San Antonio, Saipan, MP 96950, which is within this court's jurisdiction.
- 3. Defendant Royal Crown Insurance Corporation is a corporation organized under the laws of the CNMI, with its place of business located at The Royal Crown House, Beach Road, San Antonio, Saipan, MP 96950, which is within this court's jurisdiction.
- 4. Defendant Cheong Pui Ng, a/k/a Tony C. P. Ng, is the sole shareholder and president of Defendant New Saipan Development, Inc. and Defendant Royal Crown Insurance Corporation. At all relevant times, Defendant Cheong Pui Ng has resided at The Royal Crown House, Beach Road, San Antonio, Saipan, MP 96950, which is within this court's jurisdiction.
- 5. During all relevant periods, Defendant New Saipan Development, Inc., Defendant Royal Crown Insurance Corporation, and Defendant Cheong Pui Ng separately and collectively engaged in an enterprise formed to construct certain improvements on real

property located in the CNMI. This enterprise's principal place of business is also located at The Royal Crown House, Beach Road, San Antonio, Saipan, MP 96950, which is within this court's jurisdiction.

- 6. During the relevant periods, Defendant New Saipan Development, Inc., Defendant Royal Crown Insurance Corporation, and Defendant Cheong Pui Ng separately and collectively acted, directly or indirectly, in the CNMI, in the interest of the enterprise in relation to the employees identified in Exhibit A to this Complaint within the meaning of Section 3(d) of the FLSA (29 U.S.C. §203(d)).
- 7. Defendant Kang Ho Yu is the Secretary/Treasurer of Defendant New Saipan Development, Inc., one of the participants in the enterprise above. Defendant Kang Ho Yu resides at The Royal Crown House, Beach Road, San Antonio, Saipan, MP 96950 which is within the jurisdiction of the Court. At all relevant times, Defendant Kang Ho Yu acted directly or indirectly in the CNMI in the interest of the enterprise in relation to the employees identified in Exhibit A to this Complaint within the meaning of Section 3(d) of the FLSA (29 U.S.C. §203(d)).

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 8. At all relevant times, Defendant New Saipan Development, Inc. Defendant Royal Crown Insurance Corporation, and Defendant Cheong Pui Ng separately and collectively employed, and are employing, employees in and about their aforesaid place of business in handling, selling or otherwise working on goods and materials which were manufactured or produced outside the CNMI or which otherwise originated from places outside of the CNMI.
 - 9. At all relevant times, the activities of Defendant New

Saipan Development, Inc. Defendant Royal Crown Insurance Corporation, and Defendant Cheong Pui Ng, both separately and collectively, were and are related and performed through unified operation or common control for a common business purpose, and thus constitute an enterprise within the meaning of Section 3(r) of the FLSA (29 U.S.C. §203(r)).

10. At all relevant times, Defendants' enterprise had, and has, employees engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, including employees handling, selling or otherwise working on goods that have been moved in or produced for commerce, as aforesaid. Defendants' enterprise at all times hereinafter mentioned has had, and has, an annual gross volume of sales made or business done of not less than \$500,000 (exclusive of excise taxes at the retail level separately stated). By reason thereof, Defendants' enterprise is an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of Section 3(s)(1)(A) of the FLSA (29 U.S.C. \$203(s)(1)(A)).

VIOLATIONS

11. Defendants repeatedly and willfully have violated, and are violating, Sections 7 and 15(a)(2) of the FLSA (29 U.S.C. SS 207 and 215(a)(2)) by employing the previously-described employees in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce, or in an enterprise engaged in commerce or in the production of goods for commerce for work weeks longer than forty (40) hours since on or about September 6, 1998 without compensating said employees for their employment in excess of forty (40) hours in such workweeks at rates not less than one and one-half times the regular rates at which they were employed.

Defendants repeatedly and willfully have violated, and 1 are violating, Sections 11(c) and 15(a)(5) of the FLSA (29 U.S.C. §§ 211(c) and 215(a)(15)), in that since September 6, 1998 they 3 have failed to make, keep and preserve records of their employees and of the wages, hours and other conditions and practices of employment maintained by them, as prescribed by the regulations duly promulgated under Section 11(c) of the FLSA (29 U.S.C. \$211(c)) at 29 C.F.R. \$516, in that the records kept by Defendants 8 failed to show the hours worked each workday and each workweek, the 10 regular rate of pay, the basis upon which wages are paid, and the total straight time and overtime earnings for each workweek, with 11

respect to many of their employees.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 13. During the period since on or about September 6, 1998, Defendants have willfully violated and are violating the aforesaid provisions of the FLSA.
- 14. A money judgment for unpaid overtime compensation due the Defendants' employees and liquidated damages in an amount equal thereto are specifically authorized by Section 16(c) of the FLSA (29 U.S.C. §216(c)).
- 15. A judgment permanently enjoining such violations and including the restraint of any withholding of payment of unpaid compensation due under the FLSA, is also specifically authorized by Section 17 of the FLSA (29 U.S.C. §217).

WHEREFORE Plaintiff prays for judgment:

i. Pursuant to Section 17 of the FLSA (29 U.S.C. §217), permanently enjoining Defendants, their officers, agents, servants, employees and all persons acting in their behalf and interest from violating Sections 15(a)(2) and 15(a)(5) of the FLSA (29 U.S.C. §§

215(a)(2) and 215(a)(15);

ii. Pursuant to Section 16(c) of the FLSA (29 U.S.C. \$216(c)), awarding Plaintiff money damages for unpaid overtime compensation found to be due to Defendants' present and former employees named in Exhibit A attached to the Complaint (and for any person added thereto at a later date) and an equal amount in liquidated damages;

iii. In the alternative, in the event liquidated damages are not awarded pursuant to Section 17 of the FLSA (29 U.S.C. §217), for the recovery of a judgment enjoining Defendants from withholding payment of unpaid overtime compensation found by the Court due Defendants' employees, plus pre-judgment interest computed thereon;

- iv. Awarding Plaintiff her attorneys fees and costs; and
- v. Granting Plaintiff such other and further relief as the court determines is necessary and appropriate.

Dated: May 1, 2003

HOWARD M. RADZELY Acting Solicitor of Labor

SUSANNE LEWALD Regional Solicitor

By:

DAVID COLLINS Senior Attorney

Attorneys for Plaintiff Elaine L. Chao, Secretary of Labor, United States Department of Labor

1 EXHIBIT A 2 3 Arasula, Ruben 1. 4 Alcaraz, Gabriel 2. 5 3. Alcaraz, Nathaniel 6 Alcaraz, Set A. 4. 7 Chen, Guo Tai 5. 8 Chen Huo Tai 6. 9 Chen Kun Tai 7. 10 Huang, Jun Han 8. 11 9. Lin, Congn Zhen 12 10. Reyes, Ricardo 13 Rainier, Ignacio 11. 14 12. Wu, Xian Zhong 15 13. Xu, Qing Xian 16 14. Yu, Han Chun 17 Zhan, You Can 15. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

26

27

28